DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES



OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

TO:

Governor Atiyeh

DATE: July 14, 1980

FROM:

Leo T. Hegstrom

SUBJECT:

The attached are copies of the reports you requested in preparation for a decision on the State's response to Judge Burns' ruling.

We will be in your office for a meeting at 3 p.m. this Wednesday to discuss this issue.

LTH:sm

Attachments

cc: Lee Johnson

STATE OF OREGON



FROM:

Leo T. Hegstrom, Director Department of Human Resources DATE: July 12, 1980

Robert J. Watson Administrator of Corrections

SUBJECT:

Federal Court Lawsuit

The following are concepts considered useful in developing a plan for Judge Burns.

1. Parole of inmates (retroactive matrix review	ews) 150 beds by 12/80
Require certified sentence orders	75 beds by 1/81
3. Non-return of parole suspended prisoners	90 beds by 1/81
4. Build Three 50 Bed Camps	150 beds by 9/82
5. Construct additions to county jails	300 beds by 9/83
6. Build Two Regionsl Prisons	1000 beds by 7/86

Discussion: The first three items are temporary solutions and will eventually yield no bedspace savings. The construction of added space in jails is an interm solution until the counties need the space for county prisoners. The best estimate of this interval of state use is 5 to 10 years. The camps and regional prisons of medium security design are long range permanent solutions. These also take longer to get on line and operational, so the interim use of jail space is necessary.

Concepts of using McNeil Island, using vacant jail beds scattered around the state, and trying to double shift using added prison staff are also attached. I do not recommend these three approaches due to uncertainty of availability, costs, and added secutiy hazards. In addition, with all three legal challenges appear likely.

All these concepts with cost estimates where appropriate are attached.



SP#75683-125

INTEROFFICE MEMO

STATE OF OREGON



FROM:

TO: Leo T. Hegstrom, Director Department of Human Resources DATE: July 11, 1980

R. J. Watson Corrections Administrator, 0

SUBJECT: Examination of Alternatives for Prisoner Housing

> The Corrections Division prisoner census took a sharp surge upward in 1974. Early in 1975, over 70 separate sites were considered for housing prisoners.

From this search came CDRC, Salem Work Release Center, Camp Adair training site, Salem City Jail lease, and the currently contested double celling. The City Jail lease was terminated due to excessive costs and cramped space including 4 prisoners/cell.

The remaining sites had major obstacles to acquisition or use for felony prisoners. Detailed reports on the best sites were prepared and after analysis were rejected. The attached list of sites considered gives some indication of the thoroughness with which-alternative sites were pursued.

RJW:em Attachment



SP*75683-125

OTHER FACILITIES IDENTIFIED AND CONSIDERED

- 1. Columbia Park, The Dalles
- 2. Eastern Oregon Hospital, Pendleton
- 3. Tongue Point Job Corps Center, Astoria
- 4. Linnton School, Portland
- 5. Marylhurst College, Oregon City
- 6. St. Charles Hospital, Bend
- 7. Timberlake Job Corps Center, Estacada
- 8. Naval Reserve Facility, Swan Island
- 9. Coast Guard Station, Winchester Bay
- 10. County Jails
- 11. City Jails
- 12. St. Joseph Hospital, La Grande
- 13. McAuley Hospital, Coos Bay
- 14. Kaiser Memorial Hospital, North Bend
- 15. Condon Air Force Base, Condon
- 16. Burns Air Force Base, Burns
- 17. Hot Lake Resort, La Grande
- 18. Wildlife Commission Headquarters, Portland
- 19. Veterans Hospital, Medford
- 20. Klamath Falls Air Force Base, Klamath Falls
- 21. MacLaren/Hillcrest, Salem
- 22. John Mansfield Plant, Klamath Falls
- 23. Camp Adair, Corvallis
- 24. Roberts Elementary School, Salem
- 25. Markham Annex, Portland
- 26. Volunteers of America Building, Portland
- 27. Lynch School, Portland
- 28. Northwestern Christian School for the Aged
- 29. Building 50, Oregon State Hospital



STATE OF OREGON

το: R. J. Watson Administrator of Corrections DATE: July 11, 1980

FROM: Les Belleque Chief, Jail Inspections

SUBJECT: Alternative Regional Facilities to Expand Corrections Division's Bed Capacity by Adding to County Facilities

THE CONCEPT

The concept of the State building on to existing county facilities was presented to the Governor's Task Force on Regional Correctional Facilities Subcommittee on State/Local by Sheriff Duane Franklin, Jackson County.

The essence of this concept is based on a joint state/local effort to expand current county facilities which have been recently constructed and have planned expansion capabilities. The State would fund the completion of the facilities and contract with the county to supervise state prisoners who were confined on a regional basis. When the need of the county expanded the county would buy back from the State the areas which the state had constructed.

This plan would allow the State to move towards a regional facility system at minimal cost. It would delay construction and operation of a major institution for several years. It could expand the State's bed capacity more quickly. It would have great payoff for the county in the long term as they could buy back from the State at today's cost rather than the cost five or ten years down the road.

ACTION TAKEN

To date, I have been in contact with two counties in regards to this concept, Lane and Jackson. We are currently at different levels of discussion in each of these counties, thus I will deal with each separately.

<u>Jackson County</u>: Our discussions at this point have been limited to the Sheriff's office. The Sheriff has had some informal discussion with some members of the County Commission. They (Commissioners) ask for a recommendation from the county's Corrections Advisory Committee. The Sheriff is now trying to arrange a meeting of the Advisory Committee and get the subject on the agenda.

The facility (now under construction) is in Phase I, designed capacity of 156 cells, which will be completed in early 1981. Phase II of construction would be for 128 cells, which would complete the planned facility. Support services for the 284 bed facility are included in Phase I.



5P*75683-125

R. J. Watson July 11, 1980 Page 2

<u>Construction Cost</u>: The cost estimates of completing the facility by adding the 128 beds at various dates:

January 1981	\$ 6,384,680
July 1981	6,867,200
January 1986	10,173,580
January 1991	16,782,280

Plans development and construction time is estimated at 26 months.

On a ten-year basis, the county would save about \$10 million if the State would fund the construction in 1981 and sell the facility back to the county in 19991 at cost, allowing amortizing of the building and the interest on the construction money to wash each other out.

<u>Supervision Cost</u>: This concept would provide that the Corrections Division would contract for the supervision and care of the State's prisoners confined. If we had 128 beds of the 284 capacity, we would have 45%. The State would then pay 45% of the:

- (a) Food and service;
- (b) Administration, including supervisory staff;
- (c) Institution nursing service;
- (d) Utilities lights, heat, phones, etc.;
- (e) Program Personnel librarian, recreation supervisor, etc.
- (f) Other services and supplies.

The State would pay 100% for officers and staff which would be directly involved in the supervision of the 128 state prisoners.

This would require 25 positions at about \$650,000 annual cost, for administration and supervisory staff, for an annual cost of \$340,000. Services & Supplies would be estimated at about \$700,000, for an annual contract cost of \$1,690,000.

Other Possibilities: I have discussed the possibility of remodeling the existing Jackson County Jail with Lt. Fulton. He had no idea as to whether the Commissioners or the Sheriff would support the idea. It was Lt. Fulton's feelings that it would cost about \$2 million to remodel and it could probably house 50 prisoners.

I feel this should also be perceived as another possible option.

R. J. Watson July 11, 1980 Page 3

Lane County: Lane County has just completed their second phase of construction and the facility has support services and planned expansion for an additional 196 cells. I have met with the sheriff and some of the county commissioners. There is interest in this county to further explore this concept.

The County Commissioners are expected to pass a resolution to further explore this joint venture on Tuesday, July 14. I have received from their auditers cost estimates regarding the construction of the two additional floors.

<u>Construction Cost</u>: Architects estimate the following construction cost and time frames:

January 1981	\$ 9,864,954
July 1981	10,993,723
January 1986	21,594,800
January 1991	33,373,800

Note: These figures include capital outlay.

Time frame - 5 months for plans development 20 months for bid and construction 25 months from contract to occupancy

<u>Supervision Cost</u>: The population ratio of 48% state/52% county would require about:

48% o	f Administration and Supervisory Personnel	\$ 840,000
100% o	f 25 additional positions	600,000

Annual cost \$2,040,000

Services and Supplies 48% of S&S

Annual Contract of

\$2,790,000

\$ 750,000

<u>Additional Information</u>: I discussed with the Commissioners the possibility of saving the old jail facility to house minimum custody prisoners. I now find out from the architects that a condition on the land use for the site will require this old facility to be torn down and that the area is designated "parking".

The other issues discussed were the opening of the 20-man psychiatric security unit which is currently ready for occupancy but the county lacks the funds to staff. These 20 cells with a program for special need prisoners may be very useful to the Division but I have no budget information at this time.

LEB:em Attachments

itects Lutes

417 NORTH A STREET SPRINGFIELD OREGON 97477 (503) 726-7944

23 May 1980

Les Belleque Department of Human Resources Corrections Division 2575 Center Street NE Salem, OR 97310

Dear Mr. Belleque:

After our phone conversation today regarding expansion of the Lane County Adult Corrections Facility, I have rechecked our cost figures.

The third floor contracts were awarded in May 1979 and totalled \$2,649,436. The Indoor Activity addition was bid in October 1978 as an alternate with a median bid of \$294,000. It has been estimated by the project Construction Manager that building the fourth floor separately from the fifth would involve extra costs totalling \$495,000, and the cost of each floor will rise more than \$750,000 per year due to inflation.

I hope this information is helpful in developing your strategy for expansion of Correction Facilities. If we can be of further assitance, please call.

Sincerely,

LUTES/SANETEL/ARCHITECTS pc

Ron Sanetel, AIA

RS:rls

GAY 2 Tibel

of h poctions

JACKSON COUNTY JAIL: PHASE II ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COST July 2, 1980

AFSETH, JACOBS & SCHMITZ, ARCHITECTS, A.I.A. 2950 East Barnett Road Medford, OR 97501

ESTIMATED COST PER SQUARE FOOT (1)

DATE		C/S.F.	
Oct. 78 Jan. 79 Jan. 80 Jul. 80	Bid Date + 3% or 92.64 x 1.03 = + 15% or 95.42 x 1.15 = + 9% or 109.73 x 1.09 =	92.64 95.42 109.73 119.60	
Jan. 81	+ 7.5% or 119.60 x 1.075 = Six month delay (Jan. 81 - July 81)	128.58	,
Jul. 81	+ 7.5% or 128.58 x 1.075 = Five year delay (Jan. 81 - Jan. 86)	138.20	
Jan. 86	+ 60% or 128.58 x 1.60 = Ten year delay (Jan. 81 - Jan. 91)	205.73	
Jan. 91 PHASE II FLOO	+ 60% or 205.73 x 1.60 =	329.68	3
FLOOR NO.		<u>s.c. (3)</u>	AREA
Fourth Floor Level "C"	Housing/Mech. Floor Controls/Prog.	32	8,025 4,625
Fifth Floor Level "D" Sixth Floor	Housing Prog. Housing	32 32	8,025 4,625 8,025
Level "E" Seventh Floor Roof	Floor Controls/Prog. Prog.	32	4,625
TOTAL	n an	128	46,000

- 1.

(45, 975)

ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COST

		1. A.				
DATE	C/S.F. x AREA	CONSTRUCTION COST (1)	ESTIMATED EXPENSES (2)		ESTIMATED BUDGET	
Jan. 81 Jul. 81 Jan. 86 Jan. 91	128.58 x 46,000 138.20 x 46,000 205.73 x 46,000 329.68 x 46,000	\$ 5,914,680 6,357,200 9,463,580 15,168,280	\$470,000 510,000 710,000 910,000	×	\$ 6,384,680 6,867,200 10,173,580 16,782,280	

(1) Building cost only; does not include site work, fees and expenses.
(2) Construction contingency, consultant fees, and expenses.

(3) Single cell concept.



то: Leo T. Hegstrom, Director Department of Human Resources DATE: July 11, 1980

R. J. Watson Administrator Corrections

SUBJECT: Fifty Bed Forest Camp

Attached is an estimate for construction of a 50-bed camp and a proposed operating budget for one biennium. A variety of factors are unknown, including costs for site development, lead-in costs for sewer, roads, power, etc., and any special building requirements which may occur depending on the location.

The estimate proposes wood frame, non-security construction, and includes known costs and a 10% contingency.

RJW:em Attachment



Corrections Division

50 Bed Camp

CONSTRUCTION

50 Beds @ 250 sq. ft. overall space per bed; 12,500 sq. ft. @ \$35/sq. ft.	\$ 437,500	
Sq. ft. @ \$35/Sq. ft. Staff Space, 500 sq. ft. @ \$35/sq. ft. Site Development Septic Tank and DEQ Approval Power Supply Line Emergency Generator Water Supply Roads (2,000 ft.), Parking (20 cars), Gravel LCDC Permits, Hearings, etc. Professional Services and Fees Contingency (high due to unknown location)	17,500 20,000 7,000 4,500 25,000 20,000 80,000 5,000 61,000 75,000	
Total Construction Cost (based on today's cost)	\$ 752,100	\$ 752,100
CAPITAL OUTLAY		
Beds, Shelves, Chairs, Tables, Appliances, etc. (one-time items)	\$ 90,000	\$ 90,000
PERSONAL SERVICES	1 84	
<pre>1 Lieutenant (Camp Manager) 4.74 Sergeants (Shift Supervisors) 4.74 Correctional Officers (Security Supervisors) 3 Stewards 1 Maintenance Repair Worker 1.13 Correctional Counselors (IV) 1 Clerical Assistant 2.26 Correctional Officers (Transportation) 5 Correctional Officers (Work Crew Supervisors)</pre>	\$ 32,760 134,016 124,225 78,624 25,848 38,928 21,408 59,232 131,040	
Sub-Total OPE @ 31% Total	\$ 646,081 200,285 \$ 846,366	\$ 846,366

SERVICES & SUPPLIES

Food, Clothing, etc. (non-medical) Medical Special Clothing (boots & rain gear)	\$ 114,975 35,040 2,850		
Sub-total With 16% inflation		\$ 152,865 \$ 177,323	
Transportation Utilities Inmate Pay Education, Recreation Activities (esti Building Maintenance	imated)	11,856 32,761 52,000 10,000 20,000	
Sub-total (includes 16% inflation	1)	\$ 126,617	0. v
Total Services and Supplies			\$ 303,940
GRAND TOTAL			\$1,992,406
(Construction (Capital Outlay	\$ 752,100) 90,000)	\$ 842,100	
(Personal Services (Services & Supplies	\$ 846,366) 303,940)	\$1,150,306	

\$1,992,406



 Leo T. Hegstrom, Director Department of Human Resources
FROM: R. J. Watson Administrator of Corrections DATE: July 11, 1980

SUBJECT: Prison Construction

The final portion of a plan to Judge Burns should include actions related to long-range solutions.

I recommend including reference to the Governor's Task Force on Regional Correctional Facilities. The summary of recommendations from that report is attached.

RJW:em Attachment



SP*75683-125

SUMMARY OF TASK FORCE RECOMMENDATIONS

SPACE NEEDS

As a first priority, the construction of seven hundred and fifty new medium security bed spaces for the combined use of Clackamas, Multnomah, and Washington counties and the State.

1

As a second priority, the State should consider the construction of a 320 bed medium security Regional Facility for the combined use of Marion, Polk and Yamhill counties and the State.

The State examine the possibility of adding new bed spaces to existing county facilities in the event short-term relief is required.

New correctional facilities in Oregon should be constructed to meet the standards established by the American Correctional Association.

STATE/LOCAL RELATIONSHIPS

The State assume management responsibility for Regional Correctional Facilities.

Construction of Regional Correctional Facilities should be 100% funded by the State.

Participating counties should pay for the bed spaces and programs utilized by them in a Regional Correctional Facility on a cost per diem basis.

· Participating counties should obligate for a minimum number of bed spaces in a Regional Facility.

COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS/FIELD SERVICES

The State formulate, as a part of its planning effort for regional facilities, an operations program that takes full advantage of services now available through Community Corrections and Field Services programs.

Community corrections programs be maintained at their present levels of funding.

The community corrections "payback" be eliminated for counties that do not have Regional Correctional Facilities services available to them.

STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

The Legislature enact a law, modeled after ORS Chapter 773 (which established landfill siting procedures for the Department of Environmental Quality in the Metropolitan Service District), for the siting of Regional Correctional Facilities. The new law should enable counties to first attempt to site Regional Correctional Facilities. However, if the county governments failed to do so, then the authority for siting would rest with the State Corrections Division.

ORS 169.680 (2) be repealed.

->

ORS 423.530 be amended to eliminate the "payback" requirement for counties that do not have the resources of a Regional Correctional Facility made available to them. STATE OF OREGON

το: Leo T. Hegstrom, Director Department of Human Resources

DATE: July 7, 1980

FROM: R. J. Watson Administraton Corrections

SUBJECT: Availability of McNeil Island Prison

The Corrections Division followed up on the availability of McNeil Island. We talked to Mike Aun, Public Information Officer, U. S. Bureau of Prisons; Gary Mote, Director of Planning & Development, U. S. Bureau of Prisons; and Roger Maxwell, Washington State Corrections Division.

<u>Findings</u>: (1) McNeil Island is not available. The U. S. Bureau of Prisons is planning its closure, but events previously have intervened. The current target date is January 1982.

(2) When it becomes available, federal GSA must offer it first to federal agencies.

(3) Mr. Mote said if no federal agency is interested, then it will be offered to the state in the region, in this case, State of Washington.

(4) Mr. Maxwell says the State of Washington has more prisoners doubled in one prison than can be housed at McNeil. In other words, if made available, they would have needs far in excess of what could be housed there. It is a sensitive issue in Washington and much will depend on whether Governor Ray wins the election this fall.

I do not see McNeil Island as a solution, given all of the above.

RJW:em





TO: Leo T. Hegstrom, Director Department of Human Resources DATE: July 11, 1980

FROM:

R. J. Watson Administrator of corrections

SUBJECT: Availability of Jail Beds Overcrowding Lawsuit

Les Belleque, Chief Jail Inspector, completed a county jail survey to determine how many jail beds are available in county jails. He reported the following:

Total	2,480
Regular Cells	2,273
Occupied	1,962
Unoccupied	311

Of this number, very few are in the same jail. Of those unoccupied, none were available for more than 30 days. In addition, services for long-term prisoners were not available. Counties cannot predict how many beds would be available or when.

Use of these beds would require payment of widely differing per diem rates, constant movement at considerable staff cost, and with constitutional questions likely arising out of equal protection claims. Energy consumption with travel is another negative factor.

The Corrections Division does not recommend this approach as other than a very short-term arrangement. We have no budget for such housing. It is estimated jail housing per diem costs alone would be approximately \$4,400,000 per year for 300 prisoners at an estimated \$40/day. With transport officer positions, approximately ten (10) correctional officers, and five (5) vans for transportation, plus operating expenses, an added \$300,000 per year is estimated. This would be a biennial cost of \$9,400,000.

This huge expenditure with considerable security risk, the unpredictable location for jail beds that did become available, and the legal challenge to such an arrangement, all mitigate against this as a solution. A detailed list is attached.



RJW:em Attachment

SP*75683-125

		Î a	1			1			
COUNTY OF	:	TOTAL CAPACITY	GENER/ Cells	AL POPUL	ATION Beds	SPECI Cells		ELLS Beds	DAILY COUN 7/8/80
BAKER		44	1 (r 7 (r	maximum) 4 ea.)	12 28	2 1	-	3 4	12
BENTON		30	27	-		3			30
CLACKAMAS		- 94		-	90	2	۰.	4	86
CLATSOP	(Old jail	22	5			2			9
COLUMBIA		63		cell blo maximum)		2			19
COOS (Coq	uille)	40 (high of 57)	4	-	28	8			27
C005 (No.	Bend)	41			39	2	-	2	.22
CURRY		50	9		39	2			23
DESCHUTES		75	5 (‹	dorms)		5	-		45
DOUGLAS		120	114	-	108	13			108
GRANT		12	5	-	12	1	-		4
HOOD RIVE	२	24	4	-	26	0			4
JACKSON	-	109		-	109	1	-	н	121
JEFFERSON		18	2	-	14	2	-	4	19
JOSEPHINE	-	6 4		-	58	•	-	6	49
KLAMATH		112		-	92	. 4	-		75
LANE		298		-	263	33	-		248
LINCOLN		34	9	-	22	3	-	12	34
LINN	-	67	8	-	80	3	-	9	44

2.11

	1				1			
COUNTY OF:	TOTAL CAPACITY	Cells		JLATION Beds			CELLS Beds	DAILY COUNT 7/8/80
MALHEUR	54		-	51	3	-		35
MARION	99	22	-	104	8	-	16	109
MULTNOMAH	70			(0		+		
COURTHOUSE JAIL	70		-	68	2	-		55
M.C.C.I.	155		-	155			· .	97
CLAIRE ARGOW	43		-	43				40
ROCKY BUTTE	300		-	266		-	34	297
POLK	44	4	-	34	4	-	12	42
TILLAMOOK	40	8	-	39	1	×	4	22
UMATILLA	80	13	-		1	-		49
UNION	38	12	-		2	-		23
WASCO	55	14	-		2	-		37
WASHINGTON	136	- co 11 u	ell nits	130	3	-	6	141
YAMHILL	49		-	42	4	-	• 7	36
			in the second					1

TOTALS

ì

····· .

INTEROFFICE MEMO

STATE OF OREGON

TO:	Leo T. Hegstrom, Director
	Department of Human Resources

FROM:

DATE: July 11, 1980

R. J. Watson Corrections Administrator of

SUBJECT: Double Shifts for Prisoners in Double Cells

To provide an offset schedule for all prisoners in excess of design capacity at OSP and OSCI, assuring that where two inmates are assigned to a cell, only one will be in the cell at any given moment, a budget was developed. This would require night hours programming for half of all inmates assigned to double cells.

In this situation, all inmates would continue to draw medical, dental, psychiatric, psychological, and other similar services under slightly modified schedules. They would need access to evening visiting, and to night hour work, classes, and leisure-time activities. This would require the addition of security staff to open areas normally closed during those hours, culinary staff to provide night meals, and program staff to provide instruction and supervision.

Assuming that Oregon State Penitentiary would have an inmate population (as at present) of 1,477, and would thus need to establish night programming for 370 inmates, the Penitentiary would need:

\$28,964
1,516
2,756
17,589
1,594
9,945
\$62,364
4,000
1,646
\$68,010

BIENNIAL BUDGET

\$ 1,632,240

Assuming that OSCI closes its dayroom dormitories, and thus has an inmate population of 666, night programming would need to be established for 193. OSCI would need:

Correctional Sergeant	\$ 1,594
	1,451
Prison Stewards	2,756
Vocational Training Instructors	10,553
Institutional Teachers 2	11,502
Institutional Teacher 1	J,580
Recreation Therapists	6,063
Positions (OPE Included)	\$35,499
	Vocational Training Instructors Institutional Teachers 2 Institutional Teacher 1 Recreation Therapists



SP*75683.125

Leo T. Hegstrom July 11, 1980 Page 2

, ' , '

S&S for 6 VT Shops S&S for 121 Academic Students	\$ 6,000 1,992	
Budget for one month's operations	\$43,491	
BIENNIAL BUDGET		\$ 1,043,784
TOTAL		\$ 2,676,024

7

RJW:em