## Steve Bruno Lewis & Clark College (\* Jer History Department October '98

## The War at Home: The Early Years of VISTA and Local Community Action Agencies in the State of Oregon

1) Introduction: The War on Poverty

. 18

- a) The problem of poverty in America and the need for action
- b) Sargent Shriver, director of Peace Corps, appointed to direct the war
  - i) Shriver's views on poverty in the wealthiest nation in the world
    - (1) Problems in the US
      - (a) Richest nation ever
      - (b) Mobile economy, opportunity for jobs
      - (c) Excess food while thousands starve
      - (d) Enough economic experience to be able to do something
    - (2) Various kinds of poverty and Americans' rights to level of basic subsistence
      - (a) Education
      - (b) Food
      - (c) Medical care
      - (d) Legal services
  - ii) Shriver's role in constructing the "war"
- c) Economic Opportunity Act of 1964 and the creation of the Office of Economic Opportunity
  - i) Initially, the war enjoys support from politicians at all levels
  - ii) Problems with local, county, and state governments and the authority over poverty programs
    - (1) "Maximum Feasible Participation" clause and broad language interpreted many different ways
- 2) The purpose and mission of VISTA
  - a) Functions as a "domestic Peace Corps"
  - b) Teach illiterate to read, teach job skills, provide medical services, strengthen communities by being a part of them
  - c) Get communities to stand on their own feet before leaving
  - d) Roles of volunteers (diverse group)
    - (1) Teachers
    - (2) Tutors
    - (3) Organizers

- e) Work to help eliminate poverty in all walks of life
  - (1) Indian Reservations
  - (2) Mexican immigrant camps
  - (3) City slums
  - (4) Poor, rural communities
  - (5) Alaska

1

- 3) Oregon attracts VISTA program
  - a) Role of the University of Oregon
  - b) Recruitment of volunteers
  - c) 6-week training program for volunteers
  - d) Services Performed by Volunteers in Oregon
    - i) Work with migrants
      - (1) Hillsboro farm-trespassing incident
      - (2) Role of VISTAs in migrant camps questioned—farmers fear organization of migrant laborers
    - ii) Work in urban slum settings
      - (1) Mr. Bruno's experiences in Salem
        - (a) Tutoring high school dropouts
        - (b) Running coffee house in church basement
        - (c) Organizing community groups
    - iii) Work in rural communities
    - iv) Work on Indian Reservations
- 4) Conclusion
  - a) War on Poverty takes the back burner
    - i) Vietnam War draws funds and public attention away from war on poverty, beginning in '67
    - ii) Community action criticized harshly for structure and design problems
    - iii) Structure the program still causing problems in Nixon administration
    - iv) Difficulty of measuring success
  - b) Budget cutbacks in the 70s
  - c) VISTA survives as one of the few programs able to keep its head above water
    - i) Americorps and the attempt at keeping VISTA alive