

so far as I have received them from witnesses. In giving these statements in writing I would prefer to take the cause very much which I have taken in giving them verbally, i.e. to lay down the principles of the Christian religion as found in the Bible, as also the principles of the Am. Band. Dr. Hor. Miss. under whose fatigues doct Whitman was laboring up to the day of his death, & attempt to show that doct W. was at his post of duty when he fell. To inquire after the causes, direct ~~and~~ indirect which seem to have brought about this bloody tragedy. In doing this refer to the conduct & attitude of "certain Catholics & through them the Catholic Church" before, at the time of, & since the massacre. Has all this much time and labor will be required & with my numerous duties & care, I shall be able to proceed but slowly.

All this I will chequfully undertake provided finally, you will receive the continual use of the columns of the Organ Spectator, in which we may publish all our correspondence, provided it be written in common language.

I make this last request of you dear Sir, because I have made a like request of this paper & have been refused & I am not willing to be at the immense expense of time & labor in collecting the facts, examining the witnesses, discussing the principles which will be necessary without having them come before the community in which the bloody tragedy took place.

May the God of truth guide

& direct us into all truth in the prayer of
Yam unworthy servant
N. N. Spalding.

Ecan amy April 28, 1848.

Rev. Dr. N. Spalding.

Dear Sir,

I received your letter under date of 11th April in due time, and have delayed answering it, until I could see the Editor of the Organ Spectator. In your letter you state to me your willingness "to give to the public through a letter to myself, or any other gentleman, a full statement of the facts concerning the late massacre of Fred. Douglass & his associates, as also of others abiding at the station, so far as they have come under your eye, and so far as you have received them from witnesses." You add in conclusion "All this I will chearfully undutably provide provided finally you will secure the continued use of the columns of the Organ Spectator, in which we may publish all our correspondence, provided it be written in Canadas language". I can also express your willingness to enter into the matter unless this can be done.

When I read that part of your letter making it a condition, that the "continued use of the columns of the Spectator" should be obtained, before you would undutably to make out your statement, I was very well satisfied, that the editor could not grant such a request. I, however, called upon the gentlemanly editor of that print, and laid the matter before him; and he assured me of his great desire to grant us the privilege asked.

(Published Aug 24, 1848 Spectator)

3

but stated that the Constitution of the Organ
Printing Association prohibited it.

In your letter you also state, that in con-
ducting the investigation, you would ~~propose~~ to
take the cause, which you have done in your
various documents, "to lay down the principles of
the Christian religion as found in the Bible, as
also the principles of the Am. Board for Miss.
In saying you would ~~propose~~ this cause, I do
not know whether you intended it as a candi-
ture upon which the discussion must pro-
ceed; or whether you merely expressed a desire
to take that cause, if satisfactory to me. Also
when you say you would ~~propose~~ to discuss the
principles of the Christian religion and of the
Board for Foreign Missions, I am at a loss to
determine the extent of the proposed investigation,
whether it is to include all the controverted
points between an respective churches, or be
confined to matters strictly relevant. From the
general and indefinite nature of your expression,
I cannot determine how far you wish to go.
If it was intended to enter the boundless field
of theological controversy, I have some sug-
gestions to make which will I think, satisfy
you of the impropriety as well as innu-
ritude of such a wide latitude of untruth. In
the first place you and myself, as well as the
community, would readily agree upon the
principles of the Christian religion, as far as
applicable to this matter, as I should most
cheerfully admit, that if any Catholic had
any part in the massacre of Oct. 16th, he was
guilty of murder against the laws
of his Country, and the laws of God. I would

also admit that the lamented Dr. was at the post of duty up to the hour of his death. In the second place were an am sans paudre to take so wild a course, it would subject us both to much severe and just reproach. Insible men would at once say, that we had signed upon the massacre of Dr. Whitman as a mere puttoe, under which we could abdude upon the publice an ophemal opinion upon points of controversy long since discussed by able men; and the world would turn away in disgust from the perusal of a carron paudre stuffed with so much irrelevant matter.

You will now see the situation in which this matter ~~now~~ stands. The use of the Spec-
tator cannot be obtained; and will Mr. Spalding still refuse to tell us in plain and intellig-
ent language, what charges he makes against
us? Must the accused and injured suffer an
insilence and submission, knowing that they
are charged with the commission of the most
grievous crimes; and yet not permitted to know
what they are, nor even what evidence those
charges are made? I will appeal to Mr. Spald-
ing's candor. Suppose a gentleman should
preach from the pulpit, charges implicating
you in a horrid murder; and when called
upon to state in a definite and tangible
form, the facts and circumstances upon which
the charges are based, he should refuse to do
so, except upon a condition you could
not possibly comply with. Would you think
this right?

It occurred to me, at the commencement,
that, as you had made these grave admissions

9

charges against others from the pulpit, on the
Lord's day, ~~that~~ you could readily reduce to
writing the same facts stated by you orally;
and that if you had been caused to make
the charges publicly, you would also be caused
to put them in, writing, that those who are im-
plicated, and who were not present, might
also know what was alleged against them.
I most earnestly request Mr. Spalding to take
this matter into his most candid and serious
consideration; and to inform me whether he
will still make the statement I have requested.

Yours very obt Servt
Peter A. Bennett.